

NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY
GRADUATE PROGRAM IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE
Boston, Massachusetts 02115
LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

Name of applicant: Harold Weiss
Last First Middle
Application for: Full-time study ☒ Part-time study ☐

The above named student has applied to the Graduate Program in Criminal Justice at Northeastern University and has given your name as a reference. As an aid in evaluation we would appreciate your answering the following questions as frankly as possible either on this form or in a separate letter. Any statements will be kept in strict confidence.

1. How long and in what capacity have you known the applicant?

Since her freshman year at American University, or about five years.

2. What is your estimate of the applicant's promise as a graduate student and promise of professional success?

Excellent with regard to both because of her character and intellectual capabilities.

3. Are you aware of any specific strengths or weaknesses of the applicant?
If so, please elaborate.

She is conscientious, diligent, to our knowledge works hard and well, and for years has had a real interest in criminal justice.

4. Would you recommend the applicant for teaching or research duties?

Unstintingly, for either or both.

5. Please make any comments which in your opinion may aid in making a decision about the candidate.

Although we are old enough to be her grandparents, we have and have had considerable association with her peer group. We regard her as one of the best young people we have ever known. She has genuine interest in people, in the world in which she lives and in its problems and correcting them. She is a very good and very honest

6. Summary evaluation: In comparison with a representative group of persons in the same field who have had approximately the same amount of experience and training, how do you rate the applicant in general all-around scholarly ability:

- ☒ Truly Exceptional: equivalent to the very best / a person who appears only every few years. her scholarly capability as high, we would evaluate her character as the highest. I use the two boxes provided because in different ways she belongs in
- ☐ Outstanding: comparable to the best / highest 5%. evaluate her character as the highest. I use the two boxes provided because in different ways she belongs in
- ☐ Unusual: next highest 5%. each of these evaluations. In all respects, she is certainly in the highest 5%, in some is truly outstanding.
- ☐ Good: ability easily identifiable / probably upper 15% / certainly upper 25%.
- ☐ Average: upper 50%.
- ☐ Below Average: lower 50%, but recommended.
- ☐ Not Recommended.

Recent Legislation May Permit Student
Access To This Recommendation

NAME: Harold Weissberg SIGNATURE: [Signature]
TITLE: Writer INSTITUTION: 7627 Old Receiver Road
DATE: November 1, 1979 ADDRESS: Frederick, MD 21701

Please send this letter directly to the above address.